UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,999	06/29/2006	Koichi Takaku	060427	3944
	7590 10/14/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/584,999	TAKAKU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Journal 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement. er.	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicati In rity documents have been receive U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/584,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guidry et al. (US 7,108,091 B2).

Guidry et al. discloses a fuel cell vehicle comprising hydrogen tanks (or gas containers) 25 behind fuel cell stack (or engine) 30 in fuel cell box (not numbered) with ventilation 34 which flows from the rear of the fuel cell box towards the tanks and a release pipe (not numbered) with release outlet 46 between said tanks, all of which is under floor 14 and between mainframes 7 on sub-frame 26, as shown in figure 14 and recited in col. 7, lines 1-20. Guidry does not disclose the release outlet between the tanks and fuel ell stack. Guidry et al. does disclose the fuel cell stack on either side of the hydrogen tanks, as shown in figures 14 and 21. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the fuel cell stack on the other side of the hydrogen tanks, as shown by Guidry et al. in figure 21, in order to increase the space towards the front of the vehicle, which in turn would result in the release outlet being between the tanks and fuel cell stack.

With respect to claim 4, Guidry et al. does not disclose the motor as being an engine. Simply exchanging the electric motor for an engine is not viewed as patentable

Application/Control Number: 10/584,999 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

unless it produces an unexpected result and therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have substituted the motor of Guidry et al. with an engine in order to provide non-electric power to the vehicle.

With respect to claims 3 an 5, the location of the release outlet is not shown in figure 15, however the claim does not specify which center line of the tanks, lateral, longitudinal, etc., and simply shifting the location of the outlet is not viewed as patentable unless it produces an unexpected result and therefore It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have located the release outlet above, below, or anywhere relative to a center line of the tanks in order to release hydrogen in a desired location or direction.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the applicant's arguments concerning claims 1 and 4, Guidry et al. does appear to disclose a sub-frame 26 between the left and right mainframes, further the term "in a vicinity" is not limiting because it can be considered subjective.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/584,999 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-7742. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey J Restifo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3618

/Jeffrey J Restifo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618